OPEN

Check for updates

Complex strengthening mechanisms in the NbMoTaW multiprincipal element alloy

Xiang-Guo Li[™], Chi Chen¹, Hui Zheng¹, Yunxing Zuo¹ and Shyue Ping Ong[™]

Refractory multi-principal element alloys (MPEAs) have exceptional mechanical properties, including high strength-to-weight ratio and fracture toughness, at high temperatures. Here we elucidate the complex interplay between segregation, short-range order, and strengthening in the NbMoTaW MPEA through atomistic simulations with a highly accurate machine learning interatomic potential. In the single crystal MPEA, we find greatly reduced anisotropy in the critically resolved shear stress between screw and edge dislocations compared to the elemental metals. In the polycrystalline MPEA, we demonstrate that thermodynamically driven Nb segregation to the grain boundaries (GBs) and W enrichment within the grains intensifies the observed short-range order (SRO). The increased GB stability due to Nb enrichment reduces the von Mises strain, resulting in higher strength than a random solid solution MPEA. These results highlight the need to simultaneously tune GB composition and bulk SRO to tailor the mechanical properties of MPEAs.

npj Computational Materials (2020)6:70; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-020-0339-0

INTRODUCTION

ARTICLE

Multi-principal element alloys (MPEAs), colloquially also known as "high entropy" alloys, are alloys comprising four or more elements, usually in nearly equiatomic concentrations^{1–7}. They have drawn rapidly growing interest due to their exceptional mechanical properties under extreme conditions. For instance, the face-centered cubic (fcc) FeCoNiCrMn MPEA and the closely related three-component "medium-entropy" CrCoNi alloy have been reported to have high fracture toughness and strength, which is further enhanced at cryogenic temperatures^{1,8}. Conversely, the refractory body-centered cubic (bcc) NbMoTaW MPEA exhibits outstanding high-temperature (>1800 K) mechanical strength^{2,3}.

Despite intense research efforts, the fundamental mechanisms behind the remarkable mechanical properties of MPEAs remain under heavy debate. Solid solution strengthening, whereby the existence of multiple elements components of different atomic radii and elastic moduli impede dislocation motion, has been proposed as a key mechanism in both fcc and bcc MPEAs^{9,10}. However, it is clear that the microstructure (e.g., nanotwinning), short-range order (SRO), phase transitions, and other effects also play significant roles^{11–14}.

Computational simulations are an important tool to elucidate the fundamental mechanisms behind the observed strengthening in MPEAs. However, due to the high computational cost, investigations of MPEAs using density functional theory (DFT) calculations have been limited to bulk special quasi-random structures (SQSs)^{15–17}. Atomistic simulations using linear-scaling interatomic potentials (IAPs) can potentially access more complex models and longer timescales. However, classical IAPs, such as those based on the embedded atom method, are fitted mainly to elemental properties and generally perform poorly when scaled to multi-component alloys. Furthermore, classical IAPs are typically explicit parameterizations of two-body, three-body, and manybody interactions and hence becomes combinatorially complex for multi-element systems, such as MPEAs¹⁸. Recently, machine learning of the potential energy surface as a function of local environment descriptors has emerged as a systematic, reproducible, automatable approach to develop IAPs (ML-IAPs) with near-DFT accuracy for elemental as well as multi-component systems^{19–27}. While a few ML-IAPs have been developed for MPEAs²⁸, they have mainly applied to the study of phase stability of the bulk alloy.

In this work, we develop a ML-IAP for the refractory NbMoTaW alloy system using the spectral neighbor analysis potential (SNAP) approach²². Using this MPEA SNAP model, we show that the Peierls stress for both screw and edge dislocation in the equiatomic NbMoTaW MPEA are much higher than those for all the individual metals, and edge dislocations become much more important in the MPEA than that in the pure elemental bcc system. From Monte Carlo (MC)/molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, we find strong evidence of Nb segregation to the grain boundaries (GBs) of the NbMoTaW MPEA, which in turn has a substantial effect on the observed SRO. The observed Nb segregation to the GB leads to an enhancement in the strength of the MPEA.

RESULTS

NbMoTaW SNAP model

Figure 1 shows the workflow adopted in fitting the quaternary NbMoTaW MPEA SNAP model and methodological details are provided in the "Methods" section. Briefly, the MPEA SNAP model was fitted in three steps, as illustrated by the right panel of Fig. 1 with three optimization units^{24,25} from left to right. In the first step, a SNAP model was fitted for each component element, as shown in the left optimization unit of the right panel of Fig. 1. The optimized SNAP model coefficients β are provided in Supplementary Table 1, and the mean absolute error (MAE) in energies and forces are provided in Supplementary Fig. 1. The optimized radius cutoffs R_c^{El} for Nb, Mo, Ta, and W are 4.7, 4.6, 4.5, and 4.5 Å, respectively, which are slightly larger than the third nearest-neighbor distance for each element. This result is in line with previous models developed for bcc elements^{22,24,25,27}. These optimized radius cutoffs were adopted for the MPEA SNAP model

¹Department of NanoEngineering, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, Mail Code 0448, La Jolla, CA 92093-0448, USA. ^{Exa}email: ongsp@eng.ucsd.edu





Fig. 1 Schematic for the fitting workflow for the quaternary NbMoTaW alloy SNAP model. The left panel shows one optimization unit adapted from our previous work^{24,25}. α are the parameters (hyperparameters) needed for bispectrum calculations, e.g., the atomic weight S_{atom}^k for each element. β are the linear SNAP model parameters. ω are data weights from different data groups.



Fig. 2 SNAP model performance for energies and forces. Plot of MPEA SNAP versus DFT for a energies and b forces. Markers are colored according to chemical system. The overall MAEs for energies are 4.3 and 5.1 meV/atom for the training and test set, respectively, and the overall MAEs in forces are 0.13 and 0.14 eV/Å for the training and test set, respectively.

fittings in the next two steps. In the second step, the data weights (ω) were fixed according to the number of data points for each data group. A grid search was performed for the atomic weights (S_{atom}^k) by generating a series of SNAP models with different combinations of atomic weights by only running the inner loop in the optimization $unit^{24,25}$, as shown in the middle optimization unit of the right panel of Fig. 1. In the third step (see the right optimization unit of the right panel of Fig. 1), the ten combinations of atomic weights (see Supplementary Table 2) with the best accuracy in energy and force predictions were chosen to conduct a full optimization, including the optimization of the data weight in the outer loop of the optimization unit. The optimized parameters of the best model, i.e., the model with the smallest MAE in energies and forces, are provided in Supplementary Table 3. The training data comprises DFT-computed energies and forces for ground-state structures, strained structures, surface structures, SQSs²⁹, and snapshots from ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations. A test set of structures was further generated to validate the generalizability of the fitted model, which is about 10% of that for the training set.

A comparison of the DFT- and MPEA SNAP-predicted energies and forces for both training and test sets is shown in Fig. 2. The corresponding MAE values in predicted energies and forces from the SNAP model relative to DFT for the elemental, binary, ternary, and quaternary systems are displayed in Supplementary Table 4. An excellent fit was obtained for the MPEA SNAP model, with a unity slope in both energies and forces with respect to DFT. The overall training and test MAE for energies and forces are within 6 meV/atom and 0.15 eV/Å, respectively. More critically, this high performance is achieved consistently across all sub-chemical systems, i.e., there is no obvious bias in performance for any particular chemistry.

The MPEA SNAP was further validated by computing various properties of the elements and multi-component systems, as presented in Table 1. While the MPEA SNAP model systematically overestimates the melting points for all elements, they are still in qualitative agreement with the experimental values. The elastic moduli predicted by the MPEA SNAP model are in extremely good agreement with the DFT for all four elemental systems, with errors of <10% except for the shear modulus of Mo (-11.3%). The MPEA SNAP also performs very well on the NbMoTaW SQS, except for a slight overestimate of c_{44} and the corresponding shear modulus. It should be noted that only strained elemental structures, and not strained SQS structures, were included in the training data. Therefore, this excellent performance on the quaternary NbMoTaW SQS is an important validation test for the generalizability of the MPEA SNAP model.

Table 1. Property predictions of the SNAP model.							
	<i>Т</i> _т (К)	c ₁₁ (GPa)	c ₁₂ (GPa)	c ₄₄ (GPa)	B _{VRH} (GPa)	G _{VRH} (GPa)	μ
Nb							
Expt.	2750	247 ⁷⁸	135 ⁷⁸	29 ⁷⁸	172	38	0.40
DFT	_	249	135	19	173	30	0.42
SNAP	3050	266 (6.8%)	142 (5.2%)	20 (5.3%)	183 (5.8%)	32 (6.7%)	0.42 (0.0%)
Мо							
Expt.	2896	479 ⁷⁹	165 ⁷⁹	108 ⁷⁹	270	125	0.30
DFT	_	472	158	106	263	124	0.30
SNAP	3420	435 (-7.8%)	169 (7.0%)	96 (-9.4%)	258 (-1.9%)	110 (-11.3%)	0.31 (3.3%)
Та							
Expt.	3290	266 ⁸⁰	158 ⁸⁰	87 ⁸⁰	194	72	0.34
DFT	_	264	161	74	195	64	0.35
SNAP	3540	257 (-2.7%)	161 (0.0%)	67 (-9.5%)	193 (-1.0%)	59 (-7.8%)	0.36 (2.9%)
W							
Expt.	3695	533 ⁸⁰	205 ⁸⁰	163 ⁸⁰	314	163	0.28
DFT	_	511	200	142	304	147	0.29
SNAP	4060	560 (9.6%)	218 (9.0%)	154 (8.5%)	332 (9.2%)	160 (8.8%)	0.29 (0.0%)
NbMoTaW S	SQS						
DFT	_	377	160	69	233	83	0.34
SNAP	3410	399 (5.8%)	166 (3.8%)	80(15.9%)	243(4.3%)	94 (13.3%)	0.33 (-2.9%)

The SNAP-predicted melting points (T_m), elastic constants (c_{ij}), Voigt–Reuss–Hill⁸¹ bulk modulus (B_{VRH}), shear modulus (G_{VRH}), and Poisson's ratio (μ) for bcc Nb, Mo, Ta, W, and NbMoTaW special quasi-random structure (SQS) are compared with DFT and experimental values. Error percentages of the MPEA SNAP elastic properties relative to DFT values are shown in parentheses. The experimental values of B_{VRH} , G_{VRH} , and μ are derived from the experimental elastic constants.



Fig. 3 SNAP model prediction in generalized stacking fault (GSF) energies. a Schematic view of the shifts along <111> of {110} plane. b Comparison between MPEA (lines) and DFT (square markers) energies of the 1/2 <111> {110} GSF of the elements and SQS NbMoTaW structure. The DFT GSF energies for Ta, Nb, W, and Mo are obtained from refs. ^{33–36}, respectively.

Generalized stacking fault (GSF) energies

Metastable stacking faults play a critical role in the dissociation of dislocations in bcc metals^{30,31}. The γ surfaces represent energies of GSFs, formed by shifting two halves of a crystal relative to each other along a crystallographic plane³². The MPEA SNAP model was used to compute a section along the {110} γ surface in the <111> direction (see Fig. 3a) for all four elemental bcc metals and the NbMoTaW SQS. Figure 3b shows the comparison between the MPEA SNAP results and previous DFT studies^{33–36}. We can see that the overall agreement between SNAP and DFT results for all four elemental systems is excellent, with only a slight overestimation for the W. No local minima that would indicate the existence of metastable stacking faults was found in the elemental metals and the NbMoTaW SQS. The stacking fault energies of the NbMoTaW SQS are smaller than that of W and Mo and much larger than those of Ta and Nb.

Dislocation core structure

One of the important characteristics of the screw dislocation in bcc metals is the core structure. Two types of 1/2 <111> screw dislocation core structures have been reported in previous calculations for bcc metals^{36–40}, the degenerate core and the non-degenerate (or symmetric) core. A major discrepancy between ab initio methods and classical force fields is that the former predicts the non-degenerate configuration to be the core structure of the 1/2 <111> screw dislocation in bcc metals^{36,39,40}, while the latter generally finds the degenerate core^{37,38}. The MPEA SNAP model accurately predicts the non-degenerate core structure for the 1/2 <111> screw dislocation for all the bcc elements, consistent with DFT. Figure 4 shows classical differential displacement⁴¹ plots at 0*b* and 4*b* along the dislocation line (*b* is the length of the Burgers vector) for the 1/2 <111> screw dislocation of the NbMoTaW SQS MPEA. It may be observed that



Fig. 4 Differential-displacement maps for screw dislocation core structures. Plots of the maps for the 1/2 < 111 > screw dislocation of the NbMoTaW SQS MPEA at **a** 0*b* and **b** 4*b* along the dislocation line, where *b* is the length of the Burgers vector. The color depth indicates the layer of the atoms. This core structure was obtained by placing a 1/2 < 111 > screw dislocation at the center of a cylinder supercell with a radius of 10 nm and carrying out full relaxation using the MPEA SNAP model.

Table 2.	Comparison of SNAP calculated critical resolved shear stress					
(in MPa)	of 1/2 <111> dislocations in bcc elemental and MPEA systems					
with previous computational (Prev. Comp.) and experimental (Expt.)						
values.						

Method (dislocation type)	Nb	Мо	Та	w	NbMoTaW SQS
Expt. (screw)	415 ⁸²	730 ⁸²	340 ⁸²	960 ⁸²	-
Prev. Comp. (screw)	1339 ³⁴	2363 ⁴⁰	1568 ⁴⁰	3509 ⁸³	-
SNAP (screw)	889	1376	912	1686	1620 ± 637
SNAP (edge)	29	76	41	56	320 ± 113

Previous computational results from refs. ^{34,40,83} were obtained using the embedded atom method, ab initio calculations, and the Ackland potential, respectively.

there is substantial variation in the core structure in the MPEA due to the different local chemical environments. The core structure is compact at 0*b* but shows more non-compact characteristics at 4*b*. Similar variations of the core structures in MPEA due to compositional fluctuations have been reported recently^{42,43}. Nevertheless, sampling hundreds of local environments in the SQS cell indicate that the majority of local environments exhibit a compact core as shown in Fig. 4a, and only a very few local environments exhibit more non-compact characteristics as illustrated in Fig. 4b. These findings obtained from the SNAP calculations are consistent with the recent DFT studies in the same quaternary system⁴³.

Critical resolved shear stress (CRSS) of screw and edge dislocations CRSS to move dislocations is closely related to the strength of the materials. Table 2 shows the calculated CRSS for screw and edge dislocations for all four elements and the NbMoTaW SQS, together with previous experimental and computed values for the screw dislocation where available. The calculated CRSSs from atomistic simulations are typically much larger than experimentally measured values, a well-known discrepancy in bcc crystals attributed to the quantum effect⁴⁴. It may be observed that the MPEA SNAP CRSS for screw dislocations are substantially closer to the experimental values. More importantly, the gualitative trends in the CRSS for screw dislocations is successfully reproduced, i.e., W has the largest CRSS, followed by Mo, with Ta and Nb having much smaller CRSS. The MPEA SNAP CRSS of edge dislocations in the elements are an order of magnitude smaller than the CRSS of screw dislocations, consistent with previous studies^{45,46}. This leads

to the well-known large screw/edge anisotropy in apparent mobility and the dominance of screw dislocations in the deformation of bcc metals⁴⁷. For NbMoTaW SQS MPEA system, Supplementary Fig. 2 shows the distribution of the calculated CRSS for both screw and edge dislocations for different local chemical environments. It may be observed that the local environment can have a substantial effect on the CRSS. The average and standard deviation of the CRSS for the screw and edge dislocation in the SQS MPEA are reported in Table 2. Generally, the MPEA SNAP model predicts a very high CRSS for screw dislocation in NbMoTaW SQS, much larger than that of Nb, Ta, and Mo and comparable with that of W. The most interesting observation, however, is that the MPEA SNAP CRSS for the edge dislocation in the NbMoTaW SQS is also much higher than those of the elemental components and is about ~20% of the CRSS of the screw dislocation. This greatly reduced anisotropy between screw and edge mobility suggests that the edge dislocation may play a more important role in the deformation of the bcc MPEA compared to in bcc elements.

Segregation and SRO

The validated MPEA SNAP model was applied to long-time, largescale simulations of both single-crystal and polycrystalline models of the NbMoTaW MPEA. The single-crystal and polycrystal models were constructed using supercells of dimensions $15.5 \times 15.5 \times$ 15.5 nm ($48 \times 48 \times 48$ conventional cell) and $11 \times 11 \times 11$ nm (Fig. 5a), respectively, with a randomized elemental distribution with equiatomic quantities, i.e., 25% each of Nb, Mo, W, and Ta (see Fig. 5b). Hybrid MC/MD simulations were then performed to obtain low-energy microstructures for the quaternary NbMoTaW MPEA at room temperature (see "Methods" for details).

One important property that can be analyzed is the structural characteristics, such as pair correlation functions. For the singlecrystal MPEA, the partial pair correlation functions are plotted in Fig. 6a for both the random structure and the structure after equilibration in the MC/MD simulations. The dominant nearestneighbor correlations in the structure after MC/MD equilibration are between elements in different groups in the periodic table, with Ta-Mo being the highest, followed by Ta-W, Nb-Mo, and Nb-W; the correlations between elements within the same group (Ta-Nb and Mo-W) are much lower. This is consistent with the enthalpies of pairwise interactions (see Supplementary Table 5). The non-uniform correlations indicate the existence of local chemical order in the structure at room temperature. The computed pairwise multi-component SRO parameters^{48,49} (see "Methods") are presented in Table 3. It was found that three intergroup elemental pairs—Ta-Mo, Ta-W, Nb-Mo—have large



Fig. 5 Polycrystalline model with Monte Carlo/MD simulations. a A polycrystalline model for the quaternary NbMoTaW MPEA with atoms colored according to the common neighbor analysis algorithm⁷⁶ in OVITO⁷⁷ to identify different structure types (cyan: bulk bcc; orange: grain boundary). **b** The same polycrystalline model after random initialization with equimolar quantities of Nb, Mo, W, and Ta. Atoms are colored by element. **c** Snapshot of polycrystalline model after hybrid Monte Carlo/MD simulations. Clear segregation of Nb to the grain boundaries can be observed.

negative SRO parameters, indicating attractive interactions between these elements. Large positive SRO parameters are observed between elements within the same group. Our findings of SRO in single-crystal NbMoTaW MPEA are also consistent with previous studies^{28,50}, showing that Ta–Mo pairs have the most dominant SRO followed by Ta–W, Nb–Mo, and Nb–W, as such a B2 (Mo, W; Ta, Nb) phase is observed at intermediate temperature.

For the MPEA polycrystal, Fig. 5c shows a snapshot of the polycrystalline model after equilibration in the MC/MD simulations. Clear segregation of Nb (blue atoms) to the GBs can be observed. while there is evidence of enrichment of W in bulk. Table 4 provides the atomic percentages for each element in the GBs and bulk before and after the MC/MD simulations. Starting with an initial equal distribution of approximately 25% for all elements in both GBs and bulk, the percentage of Nb in the GBs increases to ~57.7%, while the percentage for W and Ta decreases to ~2% and ~13.6%, respectively. Correspondingly, the percentage for W and Ta in the bulk regions increases to ~32% and ~28%, respectively, while that for Nb decreases to ~16%. The corresponding partial radial distribution functions are also plotted for this polycrystalline model after equilibration in the MC/MD simulations, as shown in Fig. 6b. We can clearly see a large decrease for the nearest-neighbor peak of Nb-W (blue curve) compared to the single-crystal model due to the segregation effects. In addition, the peaks for the Nb–Nb pair are broadened owing to the more disorder characteristics of Nb-Nb pairs segregated into the GBs. The segregation of W into the grain interior also leads to a large increase for the nearest-neighbor peak of W-W. The calculated SRO parameters (see Table 3) also indicate very different interactions between the polycrystal and single-crystal MPEAs. For example, a_{Nb-W}^1 changes from a small negative value (-0.05) to a large positive value (0.34), due to the tendency of Nb and W to segregation into the GB and bulk regions, respectively.

MD simulations using the MPEA SNAP model were performed to generate uniaxial compressive stress-strain responses of nanocrystalline models of the elements as well as the random NbMoTaW MPEA and the equilibrated NbMoTaW MPEA after MC/ MD simulations, as shown in Fig. 7a. Among the elements, W has the highest strength, followed by Mo, and Ta and Nb being much weaker. The random MPEA has a strength that is substantially higher than that of Mo, Nb, and Ta. Most interestingly, the MC/MD-equilibrated NbMoTaW MPEA exhibits substantially higher strength than the random solid solution MPEA and close to that of W, the strongest elemental component. These results are consistent with previous experimental measurements^{51,52}, which found that nanopillars of the MPEA has comparable compressive stress-strain curves with those of W at similar diameters (Fig. 7b).

DISCUSSION

We have developed a highly accurate SNAP for the four-component Nb–Mo–Ta–W system and applied it in large-scale, long-time simulations of both single-crystal and polycrystal NbMoTaW MPEAs. The accuracy of the MPEA SNAP model has been thoroughly evaluated based on not just accuracy in energy and force predictions but also in the reproduction of key mechanical properties, such as the elastic constants, dislocation core structure, and CRSS.

In the single-crystal MPEA, we find strong evidence of a reduced screw/edge anisotropy in the calculated CRSS. This finding supports recent observations that edge dislocations may play a far more important role in MPEAs as compared to bcc elements^{53,54}. For example, Mompiou et al.⁵⁹ have found that edge dislocations are sluggish at room temperature in the Ti₅₀Zr₂₅Nb₂₅ alloy, indicating the comparable role in the strength of edge to screw dislocations. Maresca and Curtin⁶⁰ have also proposed that the random field of solutes in the high-concentration alloys has been found to create large energy barriers for thermally activated edge glide and established a theory of strengthening of edge dislocations in BCC alloys.

Large-scale, long-time simulations using the MPEA SNAP model have also provided critical new insights into the interplay between segregation, SRO, and mechanical properties of the polycrystalline NbMoTaW MPEA for the first time. First, it was found that there is a clear tendency for Nb to segregate to the GB, accompanied by enrichment of W in the bulk. Similar elemental segregation to GBs have also been observed in FeMnNiCoCr MPEA after aging heat treatment in a recent experiment⁵⁵. This effect can be explained by considering the relative GB energies of the different elements. The current authors have previously developed a large public database of GB energies for the elemental metals using DFT computations⁵⁶. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 3, Nb has the lowest GB energy and W has the highest among the four component elements. Hence, Nb segregation to the GB region and W enrichment in the bulk is driven by a thermodynamic driving force to lower the GB energies.

In turn, Nb segregation has a substantial effect on the observed SRO in the NbMoTaW MPEA. As can be seen from Table 3, the Nb–W SRO parameter changes from a small attractive interaction in the single crystal to a strong repulsive interaction in the polycrystal due to Nb segregation to the GB and W to the bulk. The SRO parameters of other pairs of elements are also intensified in magnitude. An increase in SRO has been found to lead to increased barriers to dislocation motion, leading to greater strength in the fcc NiCoCr MPEA⁴⁹. Indeed, a similar effect is observed in the polycrystalline MPEA, where the equilibrated NbMoTaW MPEA with SRO exhibiting substantially higher strength than the random solid solution NbMoTaW MPEA, with a strength approaching that of W (Fig. 7a). The von Mises strain distribution^{57,58} at a low applied strain of 3.0% is plotted in Fig. 8. It can be



Fig. 6 Partial radial distribution function. The plots of pair correlation functions $g_{\alpha\beta}$ at T = 300 K for **a** a single-crystal NbMoTaW MPEA and **b** a polycrystalline NbMoTaW MPEA.

Table 3.	Pairwise chemical short-range order parameters a^1 (see	
"Method	s") for the NbMoTaW MPEA single crystal and polycrystal afte	er
MC/MD	equilibration at room temperature.	

Pairs	Single crystal	Polycrystal
Ta–Mo	-0.51	-0.71
Ta–W	-0.34	-0.61
Nb-Mo	-0.33	-0.35
Nb-W	-0.05	0.34
W–W	-0.04	0.09
Nb-Nb	-0.01	0.10
Та–Та	-0.16	-0.21
Ta–Nb	0.36	0.62
Mo-Mo	-0.19	-0.19
Mo–W	0.28	0.36

 Table 4.
 The atomic percentages of each element at the grain

 boundary and in the BCC bulk region for the initial random structure

 and after MC/MD simulations.

Region	Grain boundary				BCC b	BCC bulk			
Element	Nb	Мо	Та	W	Nb	Мо	Та	W	
Random	24.3	25.5	24.2	26.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	
MC/MD	57.7	26.7	13.6	2.0	15.5	24.6	28.0	31.9	

observed that the von Mises strain distribution is localized in the GB region for both the random solid solution and the equilibrated MPEA. However, the MC/MD-equilibrated polycrystal with Nb-rich GBs shows much smaller von Mises strains than the random solid solution. Similar GB stability-induced strengthening has also been observed experimentally in Ni–Mo nanograined crystals⁵⁹.

To conclude, this work has highlighted that accurate treatment of MPEA chemistry at the inter- and intra-granular is necessary to reveal the subtle interactions between segregation and SRO and their consequent effect on mechanical properties. Interestingly, Nb enrichment of the GB coupled with and intensification of the SRO are predicted to the enhancement of the strength of the NbMoTaW MPEA over a random solid solution. These findings point to the potential for leveraging on both composition and processing modification to tune the GB composition and bulk SRO to tailor the mechanical properties of MPEAs.

METHODS

Bispectrum and SNAP formalism

The SNAP model expresses the energies and forces of a collection of atoms as a function of the coefficients of the bispectrum of the atomic neighbor density function⁶⁰. The atomic neighbor density function is given by:

$$\rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{r_{ik} < R_{c}} f_{c}(r_{ik}) S_{atom}^{k} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ik}).$$
(1)

where $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ik})$ is the Dirac delta function centered at each neighboring site *k*, the cutoff function f_c ensures a smooth decay for the neighbor atomic density to zero at the cutoff radius R_{cr} and the atomic weights S_{atom}^k distinguishes between different atom types. This atomic density function can be expanded as a generalized Fourier series in the four-dimensional hyperspherical harmonics $U_{m,m'}^{f}$ as follows:

$$\rho_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m,m'=-j}^{j} u^j_{m,m'} U^j_{m,m'}.$$
(2)

where $\boldsymbol{u}_{m,m'}^{\prime}$ are the coefficients. The bispectrum coefficients are then given by:

$$B_{j_1,j_2,j} = \sum_{m_1,m_1'=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2,m_2'=-j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m,m'=-j}^{j} \left(u_{m,m'}^j \right)^* \cdot C_{j_1m_1j_2m_2}^{jm} \times C_{j_1m_1j_2m_2'}^{jm'} u_{m_1',m_1}^{j_1} u_{m_2',m_2}^{j_2},$$
(3)

where $C_{j_1m'_1j_2m'_2}^{jm'}$ are the Clebsch–Gordon coupling coefficients.

In the linear SNAP formalism^{22,24}, the energy *E* and force on atom *j* \mathbf{f}_j are expressed as a linear function of the *K* projected bispectrum components B_k and their derivatives, as follows:

$$E_{\text{SNAP}} = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\beta_{\alpha,0} N_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{\alpha,k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} B_{k,i} \right)$$
(4)

$$\mathbf{f}_{j,\text{SNAP}} = -\sum_{a} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{a,k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \frac{\partial B_{k,i}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_j}.$$
(5)

where *a* is the chemical identity of atoms, N_a is the total number of *a* atoms in the system, and $\beta_{a,k}$ are the coefficients in the linear SNAP model for type *a* atoms.

The key hyperparameters influencing model performance are the cutoff radius R_c for bispectrum computation, atomic weight S_{atom}^k for element k (Nb, Mo, Ta, or W), and the order of the bispectrum coefficients j_{max} . In this work, the LAMMPS package⁶¹ was used to calculate the bispectrum coefficients (the features) for all the training structures²². An order of three for the bispectrum coefficients ($j_{\text{max}} = 3$) was used, consistent with previous works^{22,24,25,60}. The cutoff radius R_c and atomic weight S_{atom}^k were optimized during the training of the model.

Training data generation

One critical factor for developing an effective and robust potential is a diverse training data encompassing a good range of atomic local environments. For a quaternary potential, the training data should include the elemental, binary, ternary, and quaternary systems. The detailed structure generation for each system is provided as follows.

--|` 6



Fig. 7 Compressive stress-strain curve. a SNAP-predicted uniaxial compressive stress-strain behavior of polycrystals of four elemental systems (dashed line) and the quaternary system (solid line) with atoms randomly distributed and segregated after MC/MD simulations. **b** Experimental compressive stress-strain behavior of nanopillars of W (dashed line) and the quaternary system (solid line) with different diameters (*D*) extracted from refs. ^{51,52}, respectively.



Fig. 8 Atomic von Mises strain map. The maps of both the random NbMoTaW polycrystal and the polycrystal after equilibration in the MC/MD simulations at an uniaxial compressive strain of 3.0% are shown.

- 1. Elemental systems (Nb, Mo, Ta, W)
- Undistorted ground state structure for the element;
- Distorted structures constructed by applying strains of -10% to 10% at 1% intervals to the bulk conventional cell of the element in six different modes⁶²;
- Surface structures of elemental system^{63,64};
- Snapshots from NVT AIMD simulations of the bulk 3×3× 3 supercell at room temperature, medium temperature (below melting point), and high temperature (above melting point). In addition, snapshots were also obtained from NVT AIMD simulations at room temperature at 90% and 110% of the equilibrium 0 K volume. Forty snapshots were extracted from each AIMD simulation at intervals of 0.1 ps;
- 2. Binary systems (Nb–Mo, Nb–Ta, Nb–W, Mo–Ta, Mo–W, Ta–W)
 - Solid solution structures constructed by partial substitution of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ bulk supercells of one element with the other element. Compositions of the form $A_x B_{1-x}$ were generated with x ranging from 0 to 100 at% at intervals of 6.25 at%.
- 3. Ternary and quaternary systems (Nb–Mo–Ta, Nb–Mo–W, Mo–Ta–W, Nb–Ta–W, Nb–Mo–Ta–W)
- SQSs²⁹ generated with ATAT code⁶⁵ using a 4×4×4 bcc supercell.
- Snapshots from NVT AIMD simulations of the NbMoTaW SQS at 300, 1000, and 3000 K.

For the binary solid solution structures with each doping percentage, we performed a structure relaxation for all symmetrically distinct structures. Both the unrelaxed and relaxed structures were included in our data set. For the ternary and quaternary systems, our data set also includes structures generated by permuting the elements in the generated SQS, as well as the relaxed structures (including the intermediate structures) by optimizing the generated SQS.

The test set of structures was generated by extracting additional snapshots from all previous AIMD simulations at intervals of 0.1 ps. We also generated additional binary solid solution structures by partial substitution of one element with the other element in a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell. The substitution percentage ranges from 0 to 100 at% at intervals of 25 at%. The total number of test structures is about 10% of that for training data.

DFT calculations

We performed the DFT calculations using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof⁶⁶ exchange-correlation functional and projector-augmented plane wave⁶⁷ potentials as implemented in the Vienne ab initio simulation package⁶⁸. The plane-wave cutoff energy was 520 eV, and the *k*-point density was 4 × 4 for 3 × 3 × 3 supercells. The energy threshold for self-consistency and the force threshold for structure relaxation were 10⁻⁵ eV and 0.02 eV/Å, respectively. A single Γ *k* point was applied for non-spin-polarized AIMD simulations. However, we used the same parameters as the rest of the data for the energy and force calculations on the snapshots. The Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen)⁶⁹ library was used for all structure manipulations and analysis of DFT computations. Fireworks software⁷⁰ was applied for the automation of calculations.

SNAP model fitting

The fitting workflow for the quaternary SNAP model is illustrated in Fig. 1, in which we adopt the potential fitting workflow for elemental SNAP model developed in²⁴ as an optimization unit. This optimization unit contains two optimization loops. The inner loop optimizes the ML model parameters (β in Fig. 1) by mapping the descriptors (bispectrum coefficients) to DFT-calculated formation energies and forces. The formation energies are defined as, $E_{\rm form} = E^{\rm TOT} - \Sigma_{\rm el} = {}_{\rm Nb,Mo,Ta,W}N_{\rm el}E_{\rm el}$, where $E^{\rm TOT}$ is DFT-calculated total energy of the system, $N_{\rm el}$ is the number of atoms in the system for the each type of element, and $E_{\rm el}$ is the energy per atom in the corresponding elemental bulk system. The hyperparameters are optimized in the outer loop by minimizing the difference between the model-predicted material properties, i.e., elastic tensors, and the DFT-computed values. These hyperparameters include the data weight (ω in Fig. 1) from different data groups and the parameters (α in Fig. 1) used in bispectrum calculations, i.e., the radius cutoff R_c , and atomic weight $S^{k}_{\rm atom}$. The fitting algorithm for each loop is the same as previous

works 24,25 with the least-squares algorithm for inner loop and the differential evolution algorithm 71 for the outer loop.

For the quaternary NbMoTaW alloy system, there are eight hyperparameters (R_c^{Nb} , R_c^{Ta} , R_c^{W} , S_{atom}^{Nb} , S_{atom}^{Ta} , S_{atom}^{W} , S_{atom}^{Ta} , S_{atom}^{W} , S_{atom}^{Ta} , S_{atom}^{W}) in the bispectrum calculations, two for each element. A more efficient step-wise optimization was performed. In the first step, we performed a series of independent optimization of the radius cutoff R_c for each elemental SNAP model, i.e., Nb, Mo, Ta, and W. The optimized radius cutoffs are then used as the radius cutoff for the quaternary NbMoTaW SNAP model. In the second step, a grid search was performed for the atomic weight for each element. We initially fix the data weight according to the number of data points for each data group and perform a quick grid search for the atomic weight. We then select the first ten combinations of the atomic weights of the four elements (see Supplementary Table 2) with the best accuracy in energy and force predictions to conduct a full optimization, including the optimization of the data weight in the outer loop. The best model (with the highest accuracy in energies and forces) was selected from the ten fully optimized models.

Atomistic simulations

Atomistic simulations using the MPEA SNAP model were performed using the LAMMPS code⁶¹. Specifically,

- Melting points. The solid–liquid coexistence approach⁷² was used for melting temperature calculations. We use a 30×15×15 bcc (13,500 atoms) supercell for each system to perform MD simulations under zero pressure at various temperatures. One fs was set for the time step. We performed the MD simulations for at least 100 ps to ensure the correct conclusion. The temperature, at which the initial solid and liquid phases were at equilibrium without interface motion, was identified as the melting point.
- GSF energies. GSF energies were performed using a large supercell containing about 36,000 atoms. The supercell was set to be periodic along <111> and <112> directions in the {110} plane and non-periodic along <110> direction.
- Dislocation core structure. To study dislocation core structure and dynamics, we inserted a 1/2 [111] screw dislocation with line direction z = [111], glide direction x = [11-2], and glide plane normal y = [-110]into a cylinder supercell with a radius 10 nm. The length of the dislocation line of one periodicity along <111> direction is 8b (b is the Burgers vector) in the supercell. The quaternary cylinder supercell is constructed from a 54-atom SQS. The dislocation was inserted by deforming the atomic positions according to the linear elasticity theory. Rigid boundary conditions were used by creating a layer of atoms fixed in their unrelaxed position outside of the inner cylinder region with radius 9 nm of mobile atoms. This method with this configuration has been used to study dislocation properties in previous works for bcc metals^{34,73}. Similarly, a 1/2 [111] edge dislocation with the line direction along z = [11-2] could be introduced inside the cylinder supercell. Energy minimization was performed using periodic boundary conditions along the dislocation line direction (z direction) and fixed boundary conditions along the other two directions (x and y directions). To measure the CRSS or Peierls stress for dislocation motion at T = 0 K, we applied increasing homogeneous shear strain in small increments and determined the stress value at which the dislocation moves from its initial position as the CRSS. For MPEA, we record the largest shear stress within one periodicity.
- Polycrystal simulations. The initial polycrystal model was generated using the Voronoi tessellation method⁷⁴ implemented in the Atomsk code⁷⁵. We constructed a 11×11×11 nm supercell and randomly inserted six GBs with an average grain diameter of about 7.5 nm. Periodic boundary conditions were imposed in all directions. At the GBs, pairs of atoms with a distance <1.5 Å were removed. A hybrid MC/MD simulation was performed on the MPEA polycrystal for 1.5 ns. The MD simulations were carried out in NPT ensembles at 300 K and zero pressure. The time step was set to 5 fs. In the MC run, the sample was initially generated by randomly inserting the four elements into the supercell. Trial swaps were performed by randomly selecting an atom and replacing it with another species. The relative mole fractions of different elements are kept constant. The trial moves are accepted or rejected based on the Metropolis algorithm. A swap attempt was conducted at every MD step.</p>
- Stress-strain simulations. Uniaxial compressive deformation simulations were carried out with a strain rate $5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The time step was set to 1 fs,

and simulations were performed under NPT ensemble at room temperature.

Chemical short-range-order parameters

The definition of the pairwise multicomponent SRO parameter is

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ij}^{k} = (\boldsymbol{p}_{ij}^{k} - \boldsymbol{c}_{j})/(\delta_{ij} - \boldsymbol{c}_{j}), \tag{6}$$

where *k* denotes the *k*th nearest-neighbor shell of the central atom *i*, p_{ij}^k is the average probability of finding a *j*-type atom around an *i*-type atom in the *k*th shell, c_j is the average concentration of *j*-type atom in the system, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. For pairs of the same species (i.e., i = j), a positive a_{ij}^k means the tendency of attraction in the *k*th shell and a negative value suggests the tendency of repulsion. For pairs of different elements (i.e., $i \neq j$), it is the opposite. A negative a_{ij}^k suggests the tendency of *j*-type atom, while a positive value means the repulsion.

DATA AVAILABILITY

To ensure the reproducibility and use of the models developed in this paper, all data (structures, energies, forces, etc.) used in model development as well as the final fitted model coefficients have been published in an open repository (https://github.com/materialsvirtuallab/snap).

CODE AVAILABILITY

The DFT calculations were performed with the Vienna ab initio simulation package. The LAMMPS package was used to perform MD/MC simulations. All the other codes that support the findings of this study are available from X.-G.L. (xil110@ucsd.edu) upon reasonable request.

Received: 28 December 2019; Accepted: 11 May 2020; Published online: 02 June 2020

REFERENCES

- Gludovatz, B. et al. A fracture-resistant high-entropy alloy for cryogenic applications. Science 345, 1153–1158 (2014).
- 2. Senkov, O., Wilks, G., Scott, J. & Miracle, D. Mechanical properties of $Nb_{25}Mo_{25}-Ta_{25}W_{25}$ and $V_{20}Nb_{20}Mo_{20}Ta_{20}W_{20}$ refractory high entropy alloys. *Intermetallics* **19**, 698–706 (2011).
- Feng, X. et al. Stable nanocrystalline NbMoTaW high entropy alloy thin films with excellent mechanical and electrical properties. *Mater. Lett.* 210, 84–87 (2018).
- Li, Z., Pradeep, K. G., Deng, Y., Raabe, D. & Tasan, C. C. Metastable high-entropy dualphase alloys overcome the strength-ductility trade-off. *Nature* 534, 227–230 (2016).
- Schuh, B. et al. Mechanical properties, microstructure and thermal stability of a nanocrystalline CoCrFeMnNi high-entropy alloy after severe plastic deformation. *Acta Mater.* 96, 258–268 (2015).
- Juan, C.-C. et al. Enhanced mechanical properties of HfMoTaTiZr and HfMoNb-TaTiZr refractory high-entropy alloys. *Intermetallics* 62, 76–83 (2015).
- Wang, Q., Lu, Y., Yu, Q. & Zhang, Z. The exceptional strong face-centered cubic phase and semi-coherent phase boundary in a eutectic dual-phase high entropy alloy AlCoCrFeNi. Sci. Rep. 8, 14910 (2018).
- Gludovatz, B. et al. Exceptional damage-tolerance of a medium-entropy alloy CrCoNi at cryogenic temperatures. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10602 (2016).
- Varvenne, C., Luque, A. & Curtin, W. A. Theory of strengthening in fcc high entropy alloys. *Acta Mater.* 118, 164–176 (2016).
- Senkov, O., Scott, J., Senkova, S., Miracle, D. & Woodward, C. Microstructure and room temperature properties of a high-entropy TaNbHfZrTi alloy. *J. Alloy. Compd.* 509, 6043–6048 (2011).
- Otto, F. et al. The influences of temperature and microstructure on the tensile properties of a CoCrFeMnNi high-entropy alloy. Acta Mater. 61, 5743–5755 (2013).
- Wu, Z., Parish, C. M. & Bei, H. Nano-twin mediated plasticity in carbon-containing FeNiCoCrMn high entropy alloys. J. Alloy. Compd. 647, 815–822 (2015).
- Ding, J., Yu, Q., Asta, M. & Ritchie, R. O. Tunable stacking fault energies by tailoring local chemical order in CrCoNi medium-entropy alloys. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 115, 8919–8924 (2018).
- Li, Z., Pradeep, K. G., Deng, Y., Raabe, D. & Tasan, C. C. Metastable high-entropy dual-phase alloys overcome the strength-ductility trade-off. *Nature* 534, 227–230 (2016).

- Zhang, Y. H., Zhuang, Y., Hu, A., Kai, J. J. & Liu, C. T. The origin of negative stacking fault energies and nano-twin formation in face-centered cubic high entropy alloys. *Scr. Mater.* **130**, 96–99 (2017).
- Niu, C., LaRosa, C. R., Miao, J., Mills, M. J. & Ghazisaeidi, M. Magnetically-driven phase transformation strengthening in high entropy alloys. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1363 (2018).
- 17. Wang, Y. et al. Computation of entropies and phase equilibria in refractory V-Nb-Mo-Ta-W high-entropy alloys. *Acta Mater.* **143**, 88–101 (2018).
- 18. Rao, S. et al. Atomistic simulations of dislocations in a model bcc multicomponent concentrated solid solution alloy. *Acta Mater.* **125**, 311–320 (2017).
- 19. Behler, J. & Parrinello, M. Generalized neural-network representation of highdimensional potential-energy surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 146401 (2007).
- Bartók, A. P., Kondor, R. & Csányi, G. On representing chemical environments. *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 184115 (2013).
- Szlachta, W. J., Bartók, A. P. & Csányi, G. Accuracy and transferability of Gaussian approximation potential models for tungsten. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 104108 (2014).
- Thompson, A., Swiler, L., Trott, C., Foiles, S. & Tucker, G. Spectral neighbor analysis method for automated generation of quantum-accurate interatomic potentials. J. Comput. Phys. 285, 316–330 (2015).
- Shapeev, A. V. Moment tensor potentials: a class of systematically improvable interatomic potentials. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 14, 1153–1173 (2016).
- 24. Chen, C. et al. Accurate force field for molybdenum by machine learning large materials data. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **1**, 043603 (2017).
- Li, X.-G. et al. Quantum-accurate spectral neighbor analysis potential models for Ni-Mo binary alloys and fcc metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 98, 094104 (2018).
- Deng, Z., Chen, C., Li, X.-G. & Ong, S. P. An electrostatic spectral neighbor analysis potential for lithium nitride. *npj Comput. Mater.* 5, 1–8 (2019).
- Zuo, Y. et al. Performance and cost assessment of machine learning interatomic potentials. J. Phys. Chem. A 124, 731–745 (2020).
- Kostiuchenko, T., Körmann, F., Neugebauer, J. & Shapeev, A. Impact of lattice relaxations on phase transitions in a high-entropy alloy studied by machinelearning potentials. *npj Comput. Mater.* 5, 55 (2019).
- Zunger, A., Wei, S.-H., Ferreira, L. G. & Bernard, J. E. Special quasirandom structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65, 353–356 (1990).
- Po, G. et al. A phenomenological dislocation mobility law for bcc metals. Acta Mater. 119, 123–135 (2016).
- Gordon, P. A., Neeraj, T., Li, Y. & Li, J. Screw dislocation mobility in bcc metals: the role of the compact core on double-kink nucleation. *Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 18, 085008 (2010).
- Vítek, V. Intrinsic stacking faults in body-centred cubic crystals. *Philos. Mag.* 18, 773–786 (1968).
- Mishin, Y. & Lozovoi, A. Y. Angular-dependent interatomic potential for tantalum. Acta Mater. 54, 5013–5026 (2006).
- Fellinger, M. R., Park, H. & Wilkins, J. W. Force-matched embedded-atom method potential for niobium. *Phys. Rev. B* 81, 144119 (2010).
- Bonny, G., Terentyev, D., Bakaev, A., Grigorev, P. & Neck, D. V. Many-body central force potentials for tungsten. *Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 22, 053001 (2014).
- Frederiksen, S. L. & Jacobsen, K. W. Density functional theory studies of screw dislocation core structures in bcc metals. *Philos. Mag.* 83, 365–375 (2003).
- Rao, S. I. & Woodward, C. Atomistic simulations of (a/2) <111> screw dislocations in bcc Mo using a modified generalized pseudopotential theory potential. *Philos. Mag. A* 81, 1317–1327 (2001).
- Duesbery, M. S. & Vitek, V. Plastic anisotropy in b.c.c. transition metals. *Acta Mater.* 46, 1481–1492 (1998).
- Ismail-Beigi, S. & Arias, T. A. Ab initio study of screw dislocations in Mo and Ta: a new picture of plasticity in bcc transition metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 1499–1502 (2000).
- Woodward, C. & Rao, S. I. Flexible ab initio boundary conditions: simulating isolated dislocations in bcc Mo and Ta. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 216402 (2002).
- Vítek, V., Perrin, R. C. & Bowen, D. K. The core structure of (111) screw dislocations in b.c.c. crystals. *Philos. Mag.* 21, 1049–1073 (1970).
- Rao, S. et al. Modeling solution hardening in bcc refractory complex concentrated alloys: NbTiZr, Nb_{1.5}TiZr_{0.5} and Nb_{0.5}TiZr_{1.5}. Acta Mater. **168**, 222–236 (2019).
- Yin, S., Ding, J., Asta, M. & Ritchie, R.O. Ab initio modeling of the role of local chemical short-range order on the Peierls potential of screw dislocations in bodycentered cubic high-entropy alloys. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.10506 (2019).
- Proville, L., Rodney, D. & Marinica, M.-C. Quantum effect on thermally activated glide of dislocations. *Nat. Mater.* 11, 845–849 (2012).
- Wang, S., Hashimoto, N. & Ohnuki, S. Hydrogen-induced change in core structures of {110}[111] edge and {110}[111] screw dislocations in iron. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 2760 (2013).
- Kang, K., Bulatov, V. V. & Cai, W. Singular orientations and faceted motion of dislocations in body-centered cubic crystals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 15174–15178 (2012).

- Caillard, D. Kinetics of dislocations in pure Fe. Part I. In situ straining experiments at room temperature. Acta Mater. 58, 3493–3503 (2010).
- Fontaine, D. D. The number of independent pair-correlation functions in multicomponent systems. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 4, 15–19 (1971).
- Li, Q.-J., Sheng, H. & Ma, E. Strengthening in multi-principal element alloys with localchemical-order roughened dislocation pathways. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 3563 (2019).
- Körmann, F., Ruban, A. V. & Sluiter, M. H. F. Long-ranged interactions in Bcc NbMoTaW high-entropy alloys. *Mater. Res. Lett.* 5, 35–40 (2017).
- Schneider, A. S. et al. Correlation between critical temperature and strength of small-scale bcc pillars. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 105501 (2009).
- Zou, Y., Maiti, S., Steurer, W. & Spolenak, R. Size-dependent plasticity in an Nb₂₅Mo₂₅Ta₂₅W₂₅ refractory high-entropy alloy. *Acta Mater.* 65, 85–97 (2014).
- Mompiou, F., Tingaud, D., Chang, Y., Gault, B. & Dirras, G. Conventional vs harmonic-structured β-Ti-25Nb-25Zr alloys: a comparative study of deformation mechanisms. *Acta Mater.* 161, 420–430 (2018).
- Maresca, F. & Curtin, W. A. Mechanistic origin of high strength in refractory bcc high entropy alloys up to 1900K. *Acta Mater.* 182, 235–249 (2020).
- Li, L. et al. Segregation-driven grain boundary spinodal decomposition as a pathway for phase nucleation in a high-entropy alloy. *Acta Mater.* **178**, 1–9 (2019).
- Zheng, H. et al. Grain boundary properties of elemental metals. *Acta Mater.* 186, 40–49 (2020).
- Falk, M. L. & Langer, J. S. Dynamics of viscoplastic deformation in amorphous solids. *Phys. Rev. E* 57, 7192–7205 (1998).
- Shimizu, F., Ogata, S. & Li, J. Theory of shear banding in metallic glasses and molecular dynamics calculations. *Mater. Trans.* 48, 2923–2927 (2007).
- Hu, J., Shi, Y. N., Sauvage, X., Sha, G. & Lu, K. Grain boundary stability governs hardening and softening in extremely fine nanograined metals. *Science* 355, 1292–1296 (2017).
- Bartók, A. P., Payne, M. C., Kondor, R. & Csányi, G. Gaussian approximation potentials: the accuracy of quantum mechanics, without the electrons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 136403 (2010).
- Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 117, 1–19 (1995).
- 62. de Jong, M. et al. Charting the complete elastic properties of inorganic crystalline compounds. *Sci. Data* **2**, 150009 (2015).
- 63. Tran, R. et al. Surface energies of elemental crystals. Sci. Data 3, 160080 (2016).
- Tran, R., Ong, S.P. An exploration of the grain boundaries, surfaces, and Wulff shapes of the elements. http://crystalium.materialsvirtuallab.org (2016).
- van de Walle, A., Asta, M. & Ceder, G. The alloy theoretic automated toolkit: a user guide. *Calphad* 26, 539–553 (2002).
- Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865–3868 (1996).
- 67. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 50, 17953–17979 (1994).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 11169–11186 (1996).
- Ong, S. P. et al. Python materials genomics (Pymatgen): a robust, open-source Python library for materials analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 68, 314–319 (2013).
- Jain, A. et al. FireWorks: a dynamic workflow system designed for highthroughput applications. Concurr. Comput. Pract. Exp. 27, 5037–5059 (2015).
- Neri, F. & Tirronen, V. Recent advances in differential evolution: a survey and experimental analysis. *Artif. Intell.* 33, 61–106 (2010).
- Morris, J. R., Wang, C. Z., Ho, K. M. & Chan, C. T. Melting line of aluminum from simulations of coexisting phases. *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 3109–3115 (1994).
- Segall, D., Arias, T., Strachan, A. & Goddard, W. Accurate calculations of the Peierls stress in small periodic cells. J. Comput. Aided Mater. Des. 8, 161–172 (2001).
- Brostow, W., Dussault, J.-P. & Fox, B. L. Construction of Voronoi polyhedra. J. Comput. Phys. 29, 81–92 (1978).
- Hirel, P. Atomsk A tool for manipulating and converting atomic data files. Comput. Phys. Commun. 197, 212–219 (2015).
- Honeycutt, J. D. & Andersen, H. C. Molecular dynamics study of melting and freezing of small Lennard-Jones clusters. J. Phys. Chem. 91, 4950–4963 (1987).
- Stukowski, A. Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITOthe open visualization tool. *Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 18, 015012 (2009).
- Trivisonno, J., Vatanayon, S., Wilt, M., Washick, J. & Reifenberger, R. Temperature dependence of the elastic constants of niobium and lead in the normal and superconducting states. J. Low Temp. Phys. 12, 153–169 (1973).
- 79. Simmons, G. & Wang, H. et al. Single Crystal Elastic Constants and Calculated Aggregate Properties: A Handbook (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1971).
- Featherston, F. H. & Neighbours, J. R. Elastic constants of tantalum, tungsten, and molybdenum. *Phys. Rev.* 130, 1324–1333 (1963).
- Hill, R. The elastic behaviour of a crystalline aggregate. Proc. Phys. Soc. Sect. A 65, 349–354 (1952).

- Kamimura, Y., Edagawa, K. & Takeuchi, S. Experimental evaluation of the Peierls stresses in a variety of crystals and their relation to the crystal structure. *Acta Mater.* **61**, 294–309 (2013).
- Tian, X. & Woo, C. The movement of screw dislocations in tungsten. *Mater. Sci.* Eng. 369, 210–214 (2004).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is funded by the office of Naval Research under Grant number N00014-18-1-2392. We thank Dr. Shuozhi Xu for helpful discussions. The authors also acknowledge computational resources provided by the Triton Shared Computing Cluster (TSCC) at the University of California, San Diego and the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) supported by National Science Foundation under grant no. ACI-1053575.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

X.-G.L. performed potential model training, performance evaluation, and alloy mechanical property investigations. C.C., H.Z., and Y.Z. helped with the analyses in alloy mechanical property investigations. S.P.O. is the primary investigator and supervised the entire project. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the paper.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41524-020-0339-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.P.O.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020